

Technical Report

No 18

**Harmonisation of Ready
Biodegradability Tests**

April 1985

ISSN-0773-8072-18

ECETOC

Brussels, 26 April 1985.

Technical Report

N° 18

HARMONISATION OF READY
BIODEGRADABILITY TESTS

CONTENTS

A. INTRODUCTION

B. BACKGROUND

C. HARMONISATION OF READY-BIODEGRADABILITY TESTS

1. Introduction
2. Possibilities for Harmonisation
3. Proposal for Harmonising Ready Biodegradability Test Protocols

D. APPENDICES

1. Glossary of Abbreviations
2. Proposed Test Conditions for High and Low Test Substance Concentrations

E. BIBLIOGRAPHY

F. MEMBERS OF THE TASK FORCE

G. MEMBERS OF ECETOC SCIENTIFIC COMMITTEE

A. INTRODUCTION

In a previous report (ECETOC, 1983) the applicability, limitations in use, reproducibility and significant technical weaknesses of the aquatic biodegradation test methods in the OECD guidelines (1981) and in Annex V of the 6th Amendment (EEC, 1984-a) were assessed. Recommendations were formulated for improving these tests. Subsequently a second ECETOC Task Force was set up :

- i. To consider the various improvements to methods for assessing aquatic biodegradation as recommended in the recent report, and to identify those of high priority.
- ii. To draw up a detailed programme of experimental work the aim of which is to enable the above high-priority improvements to be realised. It should be borne in mind that such work could be carried out jointly by industry and outside laboratories.

For abbreviations used in this report see Appendix 1.

B. BACKGROUND

According to the TF some of the problems enumerated in the former report (ECETOC, 1983) could be resolved by the harmonisation of existing protocols (especially those of ready biodegradability tests), others could be tackled by limited experimentation, while the remainder should be solved by basic scientific investigations, for example into biodegradation kinetics.

It is emphasised that the ready biodegradability tests (RBTs) are only fail-safe screens and do not "a fortiori" exclude further investigation when the result is positive. It is well known (Gerike and Fischer, 1979, 1981) that the stringency of the RBTs varies, decreasing generally in the order - Closed Bottle, MITI(I), Mod. OECD, AFNOR, Sturm test - and that this difference causes some concern. Whilst it is not the intention in this paper to strictly define all aspects of all RBT protocols, the harmonisation of certain test conditions is both rational and desirable. Proposals designed to achieve this are formulated together with recommendations for minimising the effect of nitrification which is known to cause variability in some test results.

The specific problems of testing poorly-soluble compounds, and the influence of the toxicity of the chemicals tested, are of high-priority and may be capable of

resolution in the short-term and with limited laboratory experimentation. They will be considered in subsequent reports.

More profound problems, commonly but not exclusively linked to inherent biodegradability tests, such as adaptation of the sludge, use of mixed feed, the influence of concentration and temperature, rate of introduction, and adsorption effects, will influence biodegradation kinetics. Further, the results obtained in sludge and natural-water simulation systems also depend on biodegradation kinetics, a better understanding of which will enable a more accurate prediction of the environmental fate of chemicals under a wide range of conditions. The study of biodegradation kinetics is seen as one of high priority, but is likely to be resolved only after longer-term laboratory experimentation, with close collaboration between experts already carrying out research in this field.

C. HARMONISATION OF READY BIODEGRADABILITY TESTS

1. Introduction

Several ready biodegradability test procedures have been proposed (e.g. OECD, 1981; EEC, 1984-a). As shown in our former report (ECETOC, 1983) the test conditions are neither fully optimised nor relevant for all environmental situations. The time for adaptation and biodegradation is restricted, and the pass levels are stringent. Chemicals that pass such tests are therefore believed to be so readily biodegradable that they will be easily degraded in most environmental aerobic fresh waters or in sewage treatment plants.

The choice of test procedures and conditions in these tests is necessarily a compromise between practicable and ideal procedures. Many of the differences between methods derive from historical or traditional factors, and the scientific justification for differences between test conditions is frequently unclear. Nevertheless, considerable experience with existing methods does indicate that for screening purposes they are effective. Table 1 summarises the most important test conditions for the existing methods. Five of these methods are included in the OECD guidelines and were tested in "Round Robin Tests" by a number of laboratories. The MITI (I) method was also recently accepted as a ready biodegradability test by the EEC (1984-a) (C7, in Annex V of 6th Amendment). A modified version of the MITI (I) test is under discussion and will be considered for inclusion (EEC, 1985). The ISO test can be regarded as an optimised OECD test, while the RDA test (EEC, 1984-b) was developed later to facilitate the testing of poorly-soluble

substances and to permit a more precise measurement of the biodegradation rate.

TABLE 1
Most Relevant Test Conditions for Ready Biodegradability Tests
(OECD, 1981; ISO, 1983)

	AFNOR	OECD	ISO	RDA	MITI(1)	Sturm	Closed Bottle
1. <u>Analytical Procedure</u>	DOC*	DOC	DOC	DOC O ₂	O ₂	CO ₂	O ₂
2. <u>Test Substance Concentration</u>							
DOC (mg/l)	40	5-40	10-40	-	-	-	-
test substance (mg/l)	-	-	-	50	100	5-20	2-10
3. <u>Inoculum</u>							
effluent	x	x	x	-	-	-	x
surf. waters	-	and/or x	and/or x	-	-	-	-
soil extract mixture	-	and/or x	-	-	-	-	x
sludge	-	-	-	x	x	-	-
sludge supernatant	-	-	-	-	-	x	-
cell density (cells/l)	5x10 ⁸	-	-	-	-	10 ⁷ -10 ⁸	10 ⁶ -10 ⁸
suspended solids (mg/l)	-	-	30	30	30	-	-
4. <u>Mineral composition</u>							
Ammonia (mg N/l)	86	5.3	6.6.	4.0(1.5)	1.3	8.5	0.45
Phosphate (mg P/l)	242	11.6	116	116	29	19.3	11.6
Sodium (mg Na/l)	257	8.6	86	86	17.2	11.5	8.6
Potassium (mg K/l)	86	12.1	121	121	36.5	24	12.1
Magnesium (mg Mg/l)	5	2.2	2.2	2.2	6.6	2.2	2.2
Calcium (mg Ca/l)	14	9.9	9.9	9.9	29.7	9.9	9.9
Iron (mg Fe/l)	0.02	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.15	0.2	0.05
Trace elements	x	x	x	x	-	-	-
5. <u>Nutrient vitamins</u>							
yeast extract	x	-	x	x	-	-	-
vitamins solution	or x	x	or x	-	-	-	-
6. <u>pH</u>	7.5±0.1	7.2	7.2	7.2	7.2	-	7.2
7. <u>Temperature °C</u>	25±1	20-25	20-25	20±2	25±2	-	20±1

x = specified

- = not specified

* = DOC : dissolved organic carbon

Although these tests are performed according to standard protocols, the results obtained with each individual method for the same compound may, in fact, indicate a pass or fail on different occasions. Tables 2 and 3 show that the methods may be ranked in order of stringency when the results are expressed as pass or fail. The chance of a positive "biodegradability" score within a certain group of readily biodegradable chemicals varies from 10% in the Closed Bottle test to about 60% in the Sturm test. However, by harmonising certain common features of the test procedures and conditions it is expected that the range in variability between tests could be reduced and the intercomparability between laboratories improved without affecting the basic stringency of the tests as measures of ready biodegradability. To achieve this it is necessary to examine in depth the possible reasons for inconsistent results and identify those areas that can be harmonised without affecting the basic aims of the test.

The general principle of all ready biodegradability procedures is the incubation of a relatively small amount of inoculum containing a variety of aerobic microorganisms in a suitable medium (water and minerals), at neutral pH, with a concentration of dissolved oxygen above 2 mg/l and a temperature between 20 and 25°C. A limited amount of test chemical (2-100 mg/l) is added to the medium and serves as a source of carbon and energy.

Although the total number of bacteria present in an RBT inoculum may be up to 10^8 cells per litre, the number capable of degrading a specific chemical will depend on the structure of the chemical and the source of inoculum. When a readily biodegradable material is introduced, bacteria will grow rapidly and exponentially. Because the amount of test chemical is limited, the steep part of the exponential growth curve is normally restricted to a short time. The growth rate is then substrate-limited and slows down to zero. After depletion of the test chemical, cell death and mineralisation of the biomass become the determining processes at an exponentially-decreasing rate (about 30-60% per week). Where the substance is more resistant to degradation, or only a limited number of specific bacteria is present, then a "lag phase" of varying duration may occur. The length of this period may be determined by the slow generation-time of the specific bacteria present or by the period of adaptation necessary for the bacteria to develop enzyme systems which can degrade the chemical.

TABLE 2
The Percentage of Reported "Passes"
in the Total Number of Test Runs(n)

1° EEC and OECD round-robin tests 1978 - 1979

Pass Levels*: >70% DOC removal at 19 days (ZW+Mod.OECD)
>60% BOD/ThOD at 14 days (MITI(I))
at 30 days (Cl.B)
>60% CO₂ removal/TOC at 14^a+26 days;(Sturm)

Test Subst.	Method	Zahn Wellens (ZW)		Sturm ^a		Mod. OECD		Closed Bottle (Cl.B.)		MITI(I)	
		%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n
Aniline		100	22	90	16	97	35	75	16	35	31
D E G (1)		98	41	25	16	18	34	31	16	3	20
4 N P (2)		52	40	75	16	43	37	47	15	7	30
S A S (3)		50	24	31	16	12	33	27	15	7	29
T P B S (4)		10	40	0	16	3	37	6	17	0	39

2° OECD round-robin test 1979 - 1980

Pass levels*: > 70% DOC removal at 28 days
> 60% BOD/ThOD or CO₂/TOC

Test Subst.	Method	Modified Zahn Wellens		Sturm ^b		MITI II		MITI I		Mod. OECD		Closed Bottle	
		%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n
D E G (1)		100	6	60	5	88	8	34	9	45	19	29	7
4 N P (2)		100	7	80	5	56	9	38	8	47	18	29	7
T G A (5)		67	6	60	5	60	10	40	10	13	16	0	7
M P D (6)		100	5	60	5	50	8	14	7	69	16	17	6
D S T (7)		-	-	0	2	60	5	40	5	-	-	0	1
A T Q (8)		-	-	20	5	50	6	60	5	-	-	0	3
		92	24	52	27	61	46	36	44	43	69	16	31

* DOC : dissolved organic carbon
BOD : biochemical oxygen demand
ThOD : theoretical oxygen demand
TOC : total organic carbon

a With 14 day pre-adaptation

b Without pre-adaptation

(1) diethyleneglycol; (2) 4-nitrophenol; (3) sodium 4-acetylamino benzene-sulfonate; (4) tetrapropylene benzene sulfonate; (5) thioglycollic acid; (6) 4-methyl-2,4-pentane-diol; (7) distearyl-thiodipropionate; (8) anthraquinone.

TABLE 3
Classification of the Test Methods on the Basis
of Results on 29 Compounds^a
(Gerike and Fischer, 1979, 1981)

Biodegradation Method (OECD, 1981)	% positives	% negatives
Zahn/Wellens	79	10
Coupled units	66	17
Sturm CO ₂ evol. ^b	52	17
Mod. OECD	38	52
AFNOR	27	60
MITI ^c	25	75
Closed Bottle	14	76

^a Positives > 70%, negatives < 30% elimination.

^b With pre-adapted inoculum.

^c With pre-acclimation of the inoculum on peptone.

The ready biodegradability tests include a non-specific analytical procedure for following the process. Soluble chemicals can be measured non-specifically by determining the Dissolved Organic Carbon (DOC). Biodegradation can also be measured indirectly by respirometric methods, e.g. the oxygen uptake in the system or the evolution of carbon dioxide. These methods, in principal, also allow the biodegradation of poorly-soluble chemicals to be measured. Respirometric methods will normally indicate less than 100% biodegradation compared to the theoretical total oxidation of the test compound because they do not take account of its consumption in the non-oxidative synthesis of new cells (commonly 30% of total test substance removal). Furthermore, the test period does not allow complete mineralisation of the biomass, which would result in more complete oxidation values. DOC measurement, however, may indicate nearly 100% elimination at a stage when about 30% of the carbon of the test substance is still present in the biomass resulting from growth. After 28 days this difference may be reduced to about 10%.

2. Possibilities for Harmonisation

There are two main causes of the variability of results obtained with the presently-accepted test protocols : those resulting from the analytical procedures chosen and those resulting from differences in biological criteria.

The various analytical procedures have to be used for practical reasons, but the biological criteria may be harmonised to some extent. However, it should be recognised that even when test procedures can be harmonised there will always remain some variability in the results due to the biological nature of the test systems. Although the analytical procedures should not directly influence the biological processes, the sensitivity of the analytical method may determine the lowest practicable test substance concentration, and the upper limit of biomass concentration in the inoculum. In particular, the lack of sensitivity of non-specific analytical methods limits the practicable concentration range to between 2 and 100 mg/l, the lower concentrations being used, when possible, to minimise toxic effects. This range, in combination with significant differences in the concentration and activity of bacteria from the different inocula, leads to widely different ratios between food (test chemical) and biomass - see theoretical calculations by Blok and Booy (1984). It has been demonstrated that this alone can lead to quite different biodegradation curves. Whilst the possibility of performing tests at a higher or lower concentration should be maintained so as to take into account purely analytical and toxicity limitations, the ratio between food and biomass from the inoculum could, however, be harmonised and would substantially eliminate this source of variation in results obtained with different protocols. In practice this leads to a proposal for two sets of test conditions for two different (high and low) test chemical concentrations. At present the analytical procedure in the Closed Bottle test is the only one sensitive enough to offer the possibility of measurement at low test substance concentrations. All the other methods have less sensitive test procedures and are of comparable sensitivity, although the MITI (I) procedure can be adapted to operate at low test substance concentrations.

Apart from the differences in analytical procedures, there are other test conditions in the various guidelines which differ unnecessarily. These could with advantage be harmonised, and a number of them are discussed in some detail below.

- a) Mineral composition. From Table 1 it can be seen that in most protocols the mineral media are derived from the traditional BOD dilution water. In some cases, to adapt for higher test concentrations, these are multiplied by a particular factor. For example, the MITI (I) test has a factor 3 for Fe, Ca and Mg concentrations. The ISO and RDA tests have a factor 10 for

the phosphate buffer solution. The rationale for these differences does not seem to be scientifically based and there is every practical reason to simplify and standardise the mineral media used. For example, the function of the phosphates is primarily to buffer the pH, and levels used for this are considerably in excess of those required to promote cell growth in all of the test protocols. It is appreciated that changing the concentration of phosphate also changes the Na and K concentrations. With the exception of the AFNOR test (ratio Na/K: 3), the ratio in the other tests is about 0.7. Concentrations and ratios of mineral constituents in synthetic fresh waters can be seen in Table 4. The Na/K ratios are quite different (3.5-12.4). The concentrations of inorganic ions in a raw sewage are listed in Table 5: the Na/K ratio is about 12.5. In Table 6 the mineral composition of an activated sludge grown on sewage from domestic origin is given: the Na/K ratio is 1.4. Although the relatively high concentration of K in the test media is very different from those given in Tables 4 to 6, the effect of this on the bacterial inoculum is expected to be low. However, as a precaution, at least some pre-acclimation of a fresh inoculum to a synthetic medium may be advisable.

TABLE 4
Mineral Concentrations (mg/litre) and Ratios in
Several Synthetic Fresh Waters

	Alabaster and Abram (1965)		Freeman (1953)	Frear and Boyd (1967)	EPA (1975)	TNO (1980)
Na	11.3	113	17	54.7	13	27.4
K	-	-	3.4	11.7	1	7.8
Ca	5.9	59	28.5	81.6	6.9	54.4
Mg	1.5	15	7.0	-	6.1	17.5
Na/K			5	4.7	12.4	3.5
Ca/Mg	3.9	3.9	4.1		1.1	3.1

TABLE 5
Typical Composition of Ions in Domestic Sewage
as % of Ash Content (McKinney,1962)

Na ⁺	25	Fe ⁺⁺⁺	<1
K ⁺	2	HCO ₃ ⁻	40
NH ₄ ⁺	4	SO ₄ ⁻²	10
Ca ²⁺	5	Cl	10
Mg ²⁺	1	PO ₄ ⁻⁻⁻	1

TABLE 6
Typical Composition of Activated Sludge
Elements as % of Protoplasm Dry Mass
(McKinney,1962)

C	49	Na	0.7
H	6	K	0.5
O	27	Ca	0.7
N	1.1	Mg	0.5
P	2.5	Fe	0.1
S	0.7		

Total hardness (concentration of Ca and Mg ions), and the ratio between Ca and Mg, are in general equal in the different protocols. Only in the MITI (I) test is there an increased concentration of these ions. The ratio and the levels are not too different from those in synthetic fresh waters.

b) Ammonium (NH₄⁺) nitrogen. The most striking differences between the various protocols are seen in the concentrations of ammonium nitrogen which vary from 0.45 mg N/l in the Closed Bottle test to 86 mg N/l in the AFNOR test. Ammonium ion is added to the test media as a means of supplying essential nitrogen for biomass growth but the higher levels in the above range may be unnecessarily high for the following reasons.

i) Toxicity of nitrite. During the test, ammonium ion may be oxidised via nitrite to nitrate. For most species of bacteria a level of 10 mg NO₂⁻/l, which is 1/10 of the EC₅₀ of nitrite to bacteria at pH=6 (Blok, 1985), seems to be safe. In all methods, except the MITI (I) and Closed Bottle tests, there may be a risk that nitrite inhibits growth during the incubation. It has been demonstrated (EEC, 1985)

that temporary nitrite levels of up to 15 mg/l may occur after several weeks before the nitrite is further oxidised to the non-toxic nitrate.

- ii) Toxicity of ammonia (NH_3). This is well known for higher organisms, but ammonia at the present test levels does not inhibit bacteria. Ammonium ions at the concentrations used in the tests are not known to be inhibitive.
- iii) Ammonium ion as a nitrogen source for bacterial growth. During the biodegradation, 30-40% of the mass of the test chemical removed is converted into new cells. If about 5-10% of the biomass consists of nitrogen, the highest amount of ammonium nitrogen necessary for biodegradation of 100 mg/l of test chemical is 1.5 to 4 mg/l. Most tests, except the original MITI method, specify a concentration of ammonium which is unnecessarily high for the above purpose. In the RDA test the ammonium concentration is based on repeated addition of the test chemical (4x50 mg/l). With one initial addition of the test chemical (100 mg/l), the ammonium N added should be 4 mg/l, in line with the general principle of all other methods.
- iv) Ammonium ion oxidation responsible for increased O_2 uptake. Respirometric methods with oxygen uptake as the analytical procedure may be influenced significantly by an extra oxygen uptake as a result of ammonium oxidation (nitrification). To date no completely satisfactory solution to this problem has been found. Nitrification inhibitors (e.g. allylthiourea) can be used for the 5-day BOD test but this does not guarantee the absence of nitrification over 28 days. Where the occurrence of nitrification is suspected either from the addition of nutrient ammonium ion or from the presence of nitrogen-containing test chemicals, it may be possible to quantitatively determine the contribution made to the total oxygen uptake by analysing the test solutions for ammonium nitrite and nitrate by colourimetric means. Such methods are not at present commonly practiced and their reliability and accuracy may require validation.

An elegant solution to the problem would be the substitution of ammonium by nitrate as a nitrogen source. However, preliminary investigations (Gerike, 1984) in this direction have not been encouraging, perhaps because the nitrate was also utilised as an oxygen source. Further experimentation is, however, necessary.

In view of the above comments, a first step in controlling the nitrification problem would be to limit nitrogen in the nutrient solution to the lowest amount necessary to supply the needs of cell growth. Clearly, evaluation of the biodegradability of compounds with a high N content may still require an analytical balance of ammonium, nitrite and nitrate, to distinguish the oxidation of carbon from nitrification.

- c) Trace nutrients. The methods are very inconsistent in this respect, the addition of nutrients being based on general microbiological experience. When activated sludge at 30 mg suspended solids per litre is used as inoculum the necessary nutrients are supplied by the sludge itself. The Closed Bottle test and the Sturm test may perhaps suffer from a trace nutrient shortage. Variations in trace nutrient content may give rise to a greater than normal variability in results, even within the same method, when lower concentrations of inoculum are used.
- d) pH and temperature. Most test media are initially at pH 7-7.5. In poorly-buffered media there is a serious risk of pH variation during the test period. Closed systems, as in the MITI (I), Sturm and RDA tests, are less likely to develop a balanced carbonate buffer and are therefore more at risk. In the MITI (I) and Sturm tests this may lead to a more alkaline medium whereas an increase in acidity is more likely in the Closed Bottle and RDA tests. Harmonisation by increasing the phosphate buffer level will certainly contribute to a better comparability of the test results.

Different temperatures of incubation are prescribed in the various test protocols. The values, as well as the ranges, are different ($20^{\circ} \pm 1^{\circ}\text{C}$; $25^{\circ} \pm 1^{\circ}\text{C}$, or $20^{\circ} \pm 2.5^{\circ}\text{C}$). In the extreme case a difference of 7 degrees could theoretically cause differences in the growth curves, and a difference in lag time of one week may result. The effect of temperature on the bacteria in inocula taken under natural winter conditions and

transferred to the laboratory where the temperature could be, e.g. 15°C higher, should also be considered. Pre-acclimation of the inocula to the higher temperature and a more harmonised restriction of the temperature range is therefore advisable to obtain results of better comparability. Standardisation to $22 \pm 2^\circ\text{C}$ is therefore suggested.

Because of the dependence of dissolved oxygen saturation on temperature, tests in which the dissolved oxygen is measured, or pressure- dependent respirometric tests, may require a more critical temperature control than that based on the biological requirements discussed above.

- e) Test substance concentration. Most methods except the Closed Bottle test prescribe concentrations of between 5-40 mg DOC/l corresponding to about 12-100 mg of test substance/l. As outlined above, variations within this range, combined with variations in inoculum concentrations, may influence the shape of the growth curve. For this reason a narrower range of test substance concentration is advisable. For those chemicals expected to have inhibitory effects, lower concentrations, as in the Closed Bottle test, are advisable.

For harmonisation, the possibility of using two test concentrations (low and high) combined with a constant food-to-biomass ratio should be considered.

f) Inoculum.

- i) Origin. The definition of the source and composition are not uniform in the present test protocols: soil extracts, river waters, secondary sludge or effluents from sewage treatment plants may be used. Although the use of inoculum from such different sources may favour the environmental relevance of the tests it is certainly unfavourable for harmonisation. Variety in the mixture of bacterial species seems to be the principle criterion for the above range of choices, but there is no easy way to measure or control this. The preparation of inocula from bacterial cultures with a simple synthetic feed, as performed in the original MITI test, is in contradiction to this criterion. On the other hand, it is not justified to choose an inoculum derived from a source with specific adaptation to the xenobiotic to be tested (e.g. sludge from an

industrial sewage plant already exposed to the chemical or a related chemical).

There is some evidence from comparative tests (NNI,1982) that secondary sludges from sewage treatment plants offer the most suitable source of a diverse and active inoculum. These may be preferable for several other reasons :

- Sewage treatment plants are widely distributed throughout the community and thus offer a ready source of inocula.
- Although sewage treatment plants differ in several aspects there are many general features that make sludges from them more comparable than those from other sources, i.e. the use of domestic waste, the mean growth rate in the system or the sludge age, floc biocoenosis, adaptation to concentrations of chemicals which are nearer to those in the tests, pH, temperature, the aerobic character, the hardness and the ammonium ion concentration.
- The concentrations of living organisms per gram of solids lie within a factor of 5 for most secondary sludges.
- As a sludge contains all necessary trace nutrients, results become less dependent on differences in this respect.

A disadvantage in using activated sludge as inoculum is its poorer microbial variety compared to that in certain rivers or soils.

- ii) Quantity. Although the preparation of a specific inoculum is sometimes well defined within narrow margins, the differences between the final cell concentration in the test media may be large. The description of optional alternatives within one protocol makes this situation even worse. A total cell count by agar plating techniques may give some indications of concentration but it is a laborious procedure and it is known that only a low percentage of the species will grow on agar media. Although subject to some limitation, the easiest way to define the inoculum concentration is by defining the amount of total suspended solids of a secondary sewage sludge.

When inocula derived from river waters are used, as in the various OECD guidelines, the final cell density may vary from 10^3 to 10^6 cells/l (Smith et al., 1978; Wright, 1978; Paris et al., 1981). A 1% addition of effluent or sludge supernatant from sewage treatment plants will give rise to a cell density of 10^4 - 10^5 cells/l (Pike and Carrington, 1972). This, however, is in contradiction with the requirement of a final cell density of 10^6 - 2×10^7 cells/l in the Sturm test.

According to the above figures the addition of one drop/l of a filtered effluent in the Closed Bottle test will give about 10^2 - 10^4 cells/l. Since the settlement characteristics of sludges vary considerably, the use of supernatants (of consequent variable solid contents) should be avoided when harmonisation is required. Paper filtration will also have a variable effect for different supernatants. Inoculation with 30 mg/l of suspended solids, as mentioned in the MITI (I), ISO and RDA tests, will give a cell density of $(0.2-1) \times 10^8$ /l.

- iii) Pretreatment and preconditioning of the inoculum. Settlement, filtration and pre-aeration for between 1 and several hours are recommended in the various methods. The first two of these procedures both contribute to a decrease in cell number, and because suspended solids have different sizes the final cell concentrations will differ from laboratory to laboratory using the same protocol. The inoculation with 30 mg/l of suspended solids of freshly-sampled secondary sewage sludge could cause problems in the respirometric methods due to occasional high residual respiration rates. Also, the DOC measuring methods could be influenced by high blank values due to unreacted DOC present in the inoculum.

Pre-aeration of the sludge five to eight days before its use as an inoculum seems to be a very attractive way of tackling several problems. Blank values of DOC and oxygen uptake would be much reduced and the sludges from different plants would become more comparable. Finally, pre-aeration of the sludge at room temperature and within the dilution medium to be used offers a good acclimation of the inoculum to the test conditions.

As the Closed Bottle test is performed at a lower concentration of test chemical, the concentration of the inoculum should be lowered by a comparable factor. It has been demonstrated that the use of secondary sludge at 3 mg/l after pre-aeration in the dilution medium for one week, and with only 0.15 mg of ammonium nitrogen, gives good results with acceptable blank values (De Waart and Van de Most, 1984). The difference in cell densities, which vary from 10^3 - 10^8 cells/l, is thought to be the main cause of the inconsistent results in the round-robin tests of the OECD and EEC (Blok and Booy, 1984). For harmonisation purposes, in those methods with a test substance concentration of 50 to 100 mg/l the quantity of inoculum can be harmonised by adding 15-30 mg suspended solids/l of a preconditioned activated sludge, giving a target cell-density of 10^7 - 10^8 cells/l. Where appropriate, the levels used can be checked by occasional cell-density measurements. Those tests that can be performed with test substance concentrations of 5 to 10 mg/l are then to be inoculated to give inoculum concentrations which are 1/10 of the above. For some tests, e.g. the Closed Bottle test, this will mean a loss of stringency. In other tests the chance of false negatives may be lowered without raising the chance of false positives. The restriction of the test duration to 28 days offers enough stringency to guarantee that the screening character for ready biodegradability is retained.

- iv) Inoculum reference chemicals. The use of extremely "soft" (readily biodegradable) standard materials such as sodium acetate or glucose may in some instances lead to the acceptability of inocula of very low activity which subsequently yield negative results for compounds normally found to be readily biodegradable in the environment. This may be the explanation for the inconsistent "pass/fail" results in certain laboratory ring tests.

More consistent reference substances yielding in practice fewer false negative results should be sought.

3. Proposal for Harmonising Ready Biodegradability Test Protocols

The principal aim of harmonising the present test protocols is to lower the variability of the results obtained in different laboratories. Such

harmonisation should not, however, be interpreted to mean that the results obtained with the former test protocols should be questioned. As the present OECD and EEC methods are described in the form of detailed test protocols and not as guidelines, harmonisation will be difficult without completely rewriting them. Practically, however, much duplication in descriptions can be avoided, and the separation of general aspects common to all test protocols from aspects particular to each should yield a marked simplification. Common aspects are the introductory information, purpose, scope and relevance of tests, the preparation of test media and inoculum, the treatment of results, and the reporting of toxicity control tests or sterile controls. The identity of the individual test methods could be maintained by specifying features such as analytical procedure. This approach would imply that the AFNOR, OECD and ISO methods are reduced to one description of measuring the DOC removal. The respirometric methods (Sturm, MITI(I), Closed Bottle) differ in the measurement of oxygen uptake and CO₂ evolution. The description of inoculum preparation in the MITI (I) test could be deleted completely if the European modification were accepted. The methods for the measurement of oxygen uptake can be extended to include the method described in the RDA test. This test, performed with a single addition, should be accepted because it has special advantages for the testing of poorly-soluble chemicals.

Considering those aspects of testing which are, at least in part, responsible for the present variability in results, the Task Force has defined two sets of harmonised conditions which would cover all methods given in Table 1. The proposal maintains the individuality of each test protocol and suggestions for such harmonisation are given in Appendix 1. Although the TF feels that little would be gained by subjecting these proposals to a ring-test, this may be necessary if the proposed changes are to be accepted by regulatory authorities.

D. APPENDICES

Appendix 1: Glossary of Abbreviations

- AFNOR : Associatie Francaise de Normalisation (French Institute for Normalisation).
- EEC : European Economic Communities.
- ISO : International Standards Organisation.
- MITI : Ministry of International Trade and Industry (Japan).
- OECD : Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development.
- RBTs : Ready Biodegradability Tests.
- RDA : Rapid Die Away (test).
- TNO : Organisatie voor Toegepast Natuurwetenschappelijk Onderzoek
(Organisation for Applied Scientific Research, Holland)

Appendix 2

Proposed Test Conditions for High and Low Test Substance Concentrations

	Set I	Set II
1. <u>Analytical Procedure</u>	According to existing Test Guidelines (DOC or respirometric)	
2. <u>Test Substance</u>	10 - 20 mg/l DOC or TOC* or 20 - 50 mg/l substance or 50 - 100 mg/l ThOD	1 - 2 mg/l DOC or TOC 2 - 5 mg/l test substance 5 - 10 mg/l ThOD
3. <u>Inoculum</u>	- 15 - 30 mg/l SS** from secondary sludge of a domestic sewage-treatment plant after one week preconditioning in the medium at room temperature. - or a cell suspension of similar concentration derived from secondary effluents or homogenised and/or settled effluent solids (approx. 10^7 - 10^8 cells/l) (approx. 10^6 - 10^7 cells/l)	
4. <u>Mineral Composition</u>	Phosphate buffer solution according to BOD dilution water with a final concentration of P equal to : 116 mg P/l 11.6 mg P/l Ammonium Nitrogen 1-2 mg N/l 0.1 - 0.2 mg N/l Magnesium and Calcium salts according to the BOD dilution water Na 86 mg/l 8.6 mg/l K 122 mg/l 12.2 mg/l Mg 2.2 mg/l 2.2 mg/l Ca 9.9 mg/l 9.9 mg/l Iron salts complexed with an adequate amount of EDTA: Fe 0.05 - 0.1 mg Fe/l 0.05 - 0.1 mg Fe/l	
5. <u>Nutrients</u>	When activated sludge inoculum with suspended solids is used: no further addition necessary. When other inocula without suspended solids are used: according to present protocols.	
6. <u>pH</u>	7.2 ± 0.2	7.2 ± 0.2
<u>Temperature</u> ***	22 ± 2°C	22 ± 2°C

* For substances of low solubility and/or high toxicity, these levels may be reduced.

** SS : suspended solids

*** For respirometric methods a more restricted temperature range may be necessary.

E. BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Alabaster, J.S. and Abram, F.S.H.(1965). Estimating the toxicity of pesticides to fish. Pest. Articles and News Summaries, Section C 11, 91.
- Blok, J. and Booy, M.(1984). Biodegradability test results related to quality and quantity of the inoculum. Ecotoxicol. Environm. Safety, 8, 410.
- Blok, J. (1985). Unpublished results from AKZO.
- De Waardt, J. and Van de Most, M.(1984). Internal report of CIVO/TNO Zeist. Test method for biodegradation of disinfectants.
- ECETOC (1983). Technical Report No.8. Biodegradation Testing : An Assessment of the Present Status.
- EEC (1984-a). Directive 79-831. Annex V - Part C - Methods for the determination of ecotoxicity. Test methods C 3,4,5,6,7,8,9. Off. J., L251,1.
- EEC (1984-b). Methods for the determination of ecotoxicity at level 1, biodegradation; Repetitive Die Away Test. DG 11/400/84. Revision 1.
- EEC (1985).(Commission of the European Community, Degradation-accumulation sub-group. Ring Test Programme 1983-84). Assessment of biodegradability of chemicals in water by manometric respirometry. DG XI - 283/82, Rev.5 (Painter, H.A. and King, A.F.)
- EPA (1975). Methods for acute toxicity tests with fish, macroinvertebrates and amphibians. 660/3-75-009.
- Freeman, L.(1953). A standardised method for the determination of the toxicity of pure compounds to fish. Sewage and Ind. Wastes, 25, 845.
- Frear, D.E.H. and Boyd, J.E.(1967). Use of Daphnia magna for the microbioassay of pesticides. 1. Development of standardised techniques for rearing Daphnia and preparation of dosage-mortality curves for pesticides. J. Econ. Entom., 60(5), 1228.
- Gerike, P. and Fischer, W.K.(1979). A correlation study of biodegradability determinations with various chemicals in various tests. Ecotoxicol. Environ. Safety, 3, 159.
- Gerike, P. and Fischer, W.K.(1981). A correlation study of biodegradability determinations with various chemicals in various tests. II. Additional results and conclusions. Ecotoxicol. Environ. Safety, 5, 45.
- Gerike, P.(1984). Unpublished results from Henkel KGaA.
- ISO (1983). Water quality-evaluation in an aqueous medium of the "ultimate" aerobic biodegradability of organic compounds. Method by analysis of dissolved organic carbon (DOC). Draft International Standard ISO/DIS 7827.
- McKinney, R.E.(1962). Microbiology for sanitary engineers. McGraw-Hill Book Company Inc., New York.
- NNI (1982) Netherlands Normalisation Institute. Commission Biodegradability 390 05 005. Report 82-21.
- OECD (1981). Guidelines for Testing of Chemicals. Section 3 - Degradation and Accumulation. Test Guidelines Nos 301 A,B,C,D,E; 302 A,B,C; 303 A; 304 A.
- Paris, D.F., Steen, W.C., Baughman, G.L. and Barnett, J.T.(1981). Second-order model to predict microbial degradation of organic compounds in natural waters. Appl. Environ. Microbiol., 41(3), 603.
- Pike, E.B. and Carrington, E.G.(1972). Recent developments in the study of bacteria in the activated sludge process. Water Pollut. Control, 71, 583.
- Smith, J.H., Maley, W.R., Bohonos, N., Holt, B.R., Lee, S.S., Chou, T.W., Bomberger, D.C. and Mill, T. (1978). Environmental pathways of selected chemicals in freshwater systems. Part II: Laboratory studies. EPA Report No.600/7-78. US EPA, Athens.
- TNO (1980). In: Degradability, Ecotoxicity and Bioaccumulation. Government Publishing Office, The Hague, The Netherlands.
- Wright, R.T.(1978). Measurement and significance of specific activity in the heterotrophic bacteria of natural waters. Appl. Environm. Microbiol., 36(2), 297.

F. MEMBERS OF THE TASK FORCE

J. BLOK

AKZO
Arnhem

A. de MORSIER

Ciba Geigy Ltd
Basel

P. GERIKE

Henkel KGaA
Düsseldorf

L. REYNOLDS

ICI Plc
Brixham

H. WELLENS

Hoechst AG
Frankfurt

G. MEMBERS OF ECETOC SCIENTIFIC COMMITTEE

I. PURCHASE (Chairman), Director, Central Toxicology Laboratory	ICI (Alderley Park)
M. SHARRATT, (Vice-chairman), Senior Toxicologist	BP (Sunbury)
J. BACKSTROM, Consultant Toxicologist	ASSOCIATION OF SWEDISH CHEMICAL INDUSTRIES (Stockholm)
B. BROECKER, Coordinator, Product-related Environmental Problems	HOECHST (Frankfurt)
L. CAILLARD, Industrial Toxicological Service	RHONE POULENC (Paris)
H.O. ESSER, Head of Biochemistry, Agricultural Division	CIBA-GEIGY (Basel)
P. GELBKE, Head, Department of Toxicology	BASF (Ludwigshafen)
U. KORALLUS, Medical Director	BAYER (Leverkusen)
H.G. NOSLER, Head, Coord. Centre for Environmental Protection and Consumer Safety	HENKEL (Düsseldorf)
J.F. NEWMAN, Consultant to ICI	(Reading)
S. PAGLIALUNGA, Department of Medicine and Industrial Hygiene	MONTEDISON (Milan)
C.L.M. POELS, Environmental Affairs Division	SHELL (den Haag)
C. DE SLOOVER, Counsellor for Industrial Medicine and Toxicology	SOLVAY (Brussels)
W.F. TORDOIR, Head of Occupational Health and Toxicology Division	SHELL (den Haag)
H. VERSCHUUREN, Head, Department of Toxicology	DOW CHEMICAL (Horgen)

Responsible editor : L. Turner,
ECETOC
Avenue Louise 250, Bte 63
B - 1050 Brussels, Belgium

D-1985-3001-287