

**NTP Report 35 Chapin et al, 1989**

<b>Guidelines/Criteria</b>	
	<p>Reference: NTP. 1993a. NTP Technical Report on toxicity studies of a chemical mixture of 25 groundwater contaminants. NTP toxicity report series no. 35 - NIH Publication 93-3384. National Toxicology Program, National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences (NIEHS), Research Triangle Park, NC, USA</p> <p>Chapin RE, Phelps JL, Schwetz BA, Yang RSH. 1989. Toxicology studies of a chemical mixture of 25 groundwater contaminants. III. Male reproduction study in B6C3F1 Mice. Fundam Appl Toxicol 13:388-398.</p>
<b>In vivo Study Type</b> Route of Administration Species & age of animals	drinking water, water control group B6C3F1 mice, 8 weeks
<b>Study Duration</b>	90 days
<b>Type of Mixture</b> Binary >2 components Similar acting or dissimilar  What Mode of Action was investigated?	no yes dissimilar action assumed (chemicals mix to simulate groundwater supplies near hazardous dumps) repeated dose toxicity
<b>Parameters/End points Measured</b> Target organs/Critical effects  Pharmacological changes or adverse effects	Male reproduction spermatogenesis, liver, kidney, testis, epididymis, seminal vesicles adverse effects
<b>Individual Components</b> Characterisation of individual compounds Name, exact chemical name, CAS no.	yes One mixture was investigated: Acetone, Aroclor 1260, Arsenic, Benzene, Cadmium, Carbon tetrachloride, Chloroform, Chlorobenzene, Chromium, 1,1-Dichloroethane, 1,1-Dichloroethylene, 1,2-Dichloroethane, 1,2-t-Dichloroethylene, Di(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate, Ethylbenzene, Lead, Mercury, Methylene chloride, Nickel acetate tetrahydrate, Phenol, Tetrachloroethylene, Toluene, 1,1,1-Trichloroethane, Trichloroethylen, Xylenes
Were dose responses established for individual components?	No, only mixtures at three dose levels were administered: Target dose (see below and 2 and 10 fold dilutions thereof)
Were no effect levels established?	Yes
Were doses below the NO(A)ELs investigated?	Yes presumably
<b>Mixtures Investigated</b> Number of dose levels	<p>Target concentrations: Acetone: 53 ppm, Aroclor 1260: 0.01 ppm, Arsenic: 9.0 ppm, Benzene: 12.5 ppm, Cadmium: 51.0 ppm, Carbon tetrachloride: 0.40 ppm, Chloroform: 7.0 ppm, Chlorobenzene: 0.10 ppm, Chromium: 36.0 ppm, 1,1-Dichloroethane: 1.4 ppm, 1,1-Dichloroethylene: 0.5 ppm, 1,2-Dichloroethane: 40.0 ppm, 1,2-t-Dichloroethylene: 2.5 ppm, Di-2-ethylhexyl)-phthalate: 0.015 ppm, Ethylbenzene: 0.3 ppm, Lead: 70 ppm, Mercury: 0.50 ppm, Methylene chloride: 37.5 ppm, Nickel: 6.80 ppm, Phenol: 29.0 ppm, Tetrachloroethylene: 3.40 ppm, Toluene: 7.0 ppm, 1,1,1-Trichloroethane: 2.0 ppm, Trichloroethylen: 6.50 ppm, Xylenes: 1.60 ppm</p> <p>Target concentrations: 375 ppm</p> <p>Calculated consumption in high dose group in µg/mouse/day: Acetone: 131, Aroclor 1260, Arsenic 28.1, Benzene 37.6, Cadmium 152, Carbon tetrachloride 1.02, Chloroform 19.3, Chlorobenzene 0.32, Chromium 107, 1,1-Dichloroethane 4.29, 1,1-Dichloroethylene 1.35, 1,2-Dichloroethane 104, 1,2-t-Dichloroethylene 7.73, Di(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate, Ethylbenzene 1.06, Lead, Mercury 1.35, Methylene chloride 102, Nickel 18.6, Phenol 86.4, Tetrachloroethylene 9.04, Toluene 21.6, 1,1,1-Trichloroethane 6.37, Trichloroethylen 21.8, Xylenes 4.35</p> <p>Would have to be evaluated, NOAELs of individual compounds are not given.</p> <p>not applicable</p> <p>8 mice/group</p>
How does the mixture make-up compare to individual components? (e.g. low dose) equivalents used?) No. of technical replicates per exposure condition ( <i>in vitro</i> ) No. of animals per dose group ( <i>in vivo</i> )	
<b>Observations/Findings</b>	Mid and high dose: Increased relative Kidney weights, no other changes observed.
<b>Overall opinion</b> (e.g. sufficient numbers of groups investigated, group sizes adequate, observations reproducible, low dose levels used investigated)	Good study, sufficient animal numbers.